SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	- X	
	- A	
DDVWALL TAREDS AND DOINTERS OF CREATER	:	
DRYWALL TAPERS AND POINTERS OF GREATER	•	
NEW YORK LOCAL UNION 1974, AFFILIATED	:	
WITH INTERNATIONAL UNION OF ALLIED	:	20-CV-10113 (JMF)
PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES, AFL-CIO,	:	
	:	MEMORANDUM OPINION
Petitioner,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
-V-		
·	·	
	:	
EAL CON 0 CONG CODD	•	
FALCON & SONS CORP.,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	
	:	
	-X	

JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge:

LINITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

On December 2, 2020, Petitioner filed a Petition to Confirm Arbitration. ECF No. 1. On December 3, 2020, the Court set an initial briefing schedule for Petitioner's submission of any additional materials in support of the Petition, Respondent's opposition, and Petitioner's reply. See ECF No. 7. Petitioner served Respondent with the Petition and supporting materials, but did not timely serve the initial briefing schedule. See ECF Nos. 7, 8, 10, 13. In light of that, the Court set a revised briefing schedule to afford Respondent a meaningful opportunity to be heard. See ECF No. 13. Petitioner served Respondent with the revised briefing schedule. See ECF No 14. Pursuant to that schedule, Respondent's opposition was due no later than January 27, 2021. See ECF No. 13. To date, Respondent has neither responded to the petition nor otherwise sought relief from the Award.

The Court must treat the Petition, even though unopposed, "as akin to a motion for summary judgment based on the movant's submissions." *Trs. for Mason Tenders Dist. Council*

Welfare Fund, Pension Fund, Annuity Fund & Training Program Fund v. Capstone Constr.

Corp., 11-CV-1715 (JMF), 2013 WL 1703578, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 19, 2013) (discussing in

depth the legal standards for resolving unopposed petitions to confirm arbitration awards). After

reviewing the petition and the supporting materials, the Court finds that there is no genuine issue

of material fact precluding summary judgment as to all portions of the Award, as the Arbitrator's

decision provides more than "a barely colorable justification for the outcome reached." *Id.* at *3

(internal quotation marks omitted). Nor is there any justification under Section 10(a) of the

Federal Arbitration Act for vacating the Award.

The Court also awards Petitioner's requested attorneys' fees and costs. See Int'l Chem.

Workers Union (AFL-CIO), Local No. 227 v. BASF Wyandotte Corp., 774 F.2d 43, 47 (2d Cir.

1985) ("[W]hen a challenger refuses to abide by an arbitrator's decision without justification,

attorney's fees and costs may properly be awarded." (internal quotation marks omitted)). Here,

Petitioner has met its burden of proving the reasonableness and necessity of hours spent, rates

charged, and litigation costs incurred.

Accordingly, the Court grants Petitioner's unopposed petition to confirm the entire

Award. Petitioner is directed to file their Proposed Judgment electronically, using the ECF

Filing Event "Proposed Judgment," by February 4, 2021.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 28, 2021

New York, New York

United States District Judge

2